

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2022

1. **CALL TO ORDER.** President of Council Loughry called the Council meeting of Thursday, January 12, 2023, to order at 7:00 p.m.
2. **Opening Prayer & Pledge of Allegiance:**
Prayer: Pastor Jim Case, Tallmadge Lutheran Church.
Pledge of Allegiance: Mayor Kline.
3. **Roll Call:** Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak – present.
4. **Correcting and Adopting the Previous Meeting Minutes:**
12-8-22 Council Meeting Minutes.
Mrs. Kilway: I would like to make a motion to make a correction; it is just a spelling correction, and it is on page 8, and to only have one mistake on 18 pages I think is phenomenal. But there is actually a word missing on page 8 on line 5 where I say, “I am not going to “with”, and it should be “withdraw my motion.” Seconded Mr. Sisak. Roll Call: Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman – unanimous. **So, the minutes are amended by a vote of 7-0.**
Mrs. Kilway moved to adopt the amended meeting minutes of 12-8-22. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas – unanimous. **The 12-8-22 Council meeting minutes are adopted by a vote of 7-0.**
5. **Financial Reports:**
6. **Mr. Sisak:** I move to approve to accept the financial reports. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic – unanimous. **The Financial Reports submitted by Dir. of Finance Gilbride were accepted by a vote of 7-0.**
6. **Public Hearings:** None.
7. **Community Input:**
Pres. of Council: This is the point in our Council meeting where if somebody in the audience would like to speak to Council on anything that is not on our agenda, you can do that at this time and you have up to 2 minutes, so please just come to the microphone and introduce yourself and give your address.
Tasha Appel, 222 Northwest Avenue, Apt. B in Tallmadge. Spoke regarding having formal discussions with the Police Department about abortion allegations and investigations that are inevitable.
Pres. of Council: I have just been notified that as of now our live streaming is not working. So, we are going to continue obviously with our meeting. That was just an f.y.i. for our own sake. We had a resident just contact us saying that it was not, so thank you very much.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

8. **Agenda Additions:3333**

- Discussion and adoption of 2023 Meeting Dates.

Pres. of Council: We have, every year at this meeting; we adopt our calendar and Mrs. Burton provided that to us. Basically, we always meet the second and fourth Thursday's unless noted with a break, but not too often. On the calendar you were provided, Spring Break would be on March 23rd and that meeting would not take place. October 26th being Thursday and Halloween, normally we move that meeting . . . last year we made the meeting on a Wednesday. So that meeting has been moved to Wednesday, the 25th and then we have one meeting in November and one meeting in December and we take the Christmas meeting off but we are asking to schedule tentatively the 21st of December just if needed if we had a budget issue or something needed done that late in the year. So, if everyone is OK with the calendar, I would entertain a motion to accept that.

Mr. Sisak: So moved. Seconded Mr. Bozic.

Mrs. Kilway: I just had a question and maybe a thought, we have March 23rd scheduled off for Spring Break, but in the notation, it does say that Tallmadge and Cornerstone are having their Spring Break the week of the 27th. So is there a reason why we are scheduling ourselves off on that week because it wouldn't impact anyone who has kids.

Pres. of Council: I think it has just been tradition, but if Council would like to meet, I'm good with meeting. I would have to check with the Administration. So, we have not obviously discussed this. I am good with whatever Council wants to do. If you all do not plan on having conflicts that would prevent you from meeting on the 23rd, I would say let's meet on the 23rd.

Mrs. Kilway: I am OK with meeting on the 23rd.

Pres. of Council: Administration, I know it is a little bit out.

Dir. of Law: I'm tentative, but we will figure it out.

Mr. Bozic: I am OK with meeting that day as well, but if we don't have any pending legislation at that point . . . the only thing I was thinking about was any of the public hearings that may be taking place that would push back that 3rd Reading depending on where that falls. It would move it to the first meeting in April, but even with a development project and I don't think missing a meeting in March has ever been an issue with P&Z that I can remember. Do we want to still just keep it off? OK, the consensus from head nods is that we will not meet on March 23rd. So with that, is there any more discussion on the calendar? A motion to accept it as printed.

Mr. Bozic: So moved. Seconded Mrs. Allman. Roll Call: Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman – unanimous. **The calendar has been adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

Richardson Trust Fund:

- Appointment of Chairperson – Carol Kilway
- Appointment of Secretary – Craig Sisak

Firefighters' Dependents Fund:

- Appointment of Chairperson from Council: - Carol Kilway
- Appointment of Secretary from Council – Craig Sisak
- Appointment of Seth Dowler and Brian White as Board Members elected by the Fire Department.
- Appointment of Chip Billows as At-Large member.

Planning & Zoning Commission:

- Mayor's Appointment: Bob Kostko 4 years

Veteran's Committee:

- Mayor's Appointments: Jim Forsythe Non-Resident 3 years
- Kelly Ward Non-Resident 2 years
- Council's Appointments: Adam Bozic Resident 3 years
- Terry Fitzpatrick Resident 2 years
- Dir. of Public Service: Mike Rorar Resident No term

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Pres. of Council: The reason we went over these is because Mrs. Raber has said we can vote on all of these with one motion. So, are there any changes? Hearing none, I would entertain a motion.

Mr. Bozic: I would make a motion to approve these individuals as stated. Seconded Mr. Sisak.

Mayor: Just under my appointment for Planning & Zoning, Bob Kostko. Let me first talk about Jerry Taylor. Jerry Taylor served on that commission for 25 years and you can see every business around town over the past 25 years, his work that he did on the commission is on those projects and he did a great job for us. I am very happy for Jerry that he served that many years as a volunteer. It is an unpaid position. I commend him for all of his work.

Bob Kostko, I think a lot of you know him. He is retired from Summit Racing and part of his job at Summit Racing was as a Project Manager and he presented in front of Planning & Zoning quite often and he was part of the construction of all the projects that Summit Racing performed, so Bob is a resident of Tallmadge and has been for a long time and he will be a great asset to the Planning & Zoning Commission.

Roll Call: Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway – unanimous. **The individuals were approved by a vote of 7-0.**

9. Reports of Administrative Officers:

a. Mayor/Director of Economic Development

Mayor: Thank you Mr. Chairman and welcome back Council. Happy New Year to all and I am looking forward to a great year coming up.

- E-mail sent out by Helene with the 2022 Accomplishments and the amount of work that they do in that department.
- **The Basement** which was the old Beef O'Brady's out in the JEDD is changing their franchise to The Basement franchise and they do have a ribbon cutting on the 17th at 11:00 a.m. and I think a lot of you have responded to that that you will be attending.
- ModWash ribbon cutting is February 3rd at 11:00 a.m. and that is the car wash at 105 West Avenue.
- Also, **Captain McGee and Sergeant Quillen were sworn in on December 29th** in Council chambers. We had to do it. We did not have a Council meeting otherwise I would have done it at the Council meeting, but most of you attended.
- The **Tallmadge Expo** is this year at the Tallmadge Rec Center. That will be on April 15th which is a Saturday and so mark that down.
- **Fire Station No. 2.** We still have Visqueen on the doors. We are just about ready to open up. The generator was set a week ago and the gas meter is supposed to be set tomorrow. Once we get the emergency generator up and functioning, basically we could move in if we had garage doors. The garage door situation is still being worked on by the legal department and the temporary doors for the four big doors front and back; the temporary doors from the contractor are being installed. It is a roll-up door, but those are only temporary. They are coming at the end of this month. As soon as those are on, we will be able to open up.

My goal is I hope I don't have to install those and I am being optimistic. Outside of that as soon as we can get them in we can demo the other building and start the site work on that site. End of report.

Mr. Bozic: Is that something that we would need to kind of discuss any kind of legal . . .

Mayor: For the doors?

Mr. Bozic: For the doors or any other pending litigation that we may have in Executive Session?

Dir. of Law: Pending litigation would be discussed in Executive Session. We don't have any type of litigation at this point. There is other litigation surrounding the doors that was not filed by us.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

b. Director of Safety:

Good evening, everyone. Just one report that I will make this evening regarding the **compensation study** that is underway. It has been underway for some months. Mr. Shebesta of Clemans, Nelson & Associates met with some of the City Administration and Mr. Bollas on January 5th which was rescheduled from December 29th due to an illness.

Mr. Shebesta presented some results of a wage survey and some information developed from the position analysis questionnaires submitted to them by City employees. Ideas for what he calls a point factor manual that would be used to establish new wage ranges for various categories of employees and draft position descriptions. No draft plan was presented, but Clemons, Nelson plans to make a presentation to Council once they have a final report.

Mr. Shebesta plans to take our feedback from that meeting and return with more specific recommendations for revisions to the City's general approach to compensating employees although no specific date was provided in the meeting. I am happy to answer any questions. End of report.

c. Director of Public Service:

Good evening. I would like to request the passage of Ordinances 1-17 if that is OK this evening.

- We had a total of 10 permits issued for the month.
- **Code violations** were at 36.
- At the **cemetery** we had 4 total burials. 3 were full and 1 was cremains. I want to make a note that there are no spaces that exist next to each so you can't buy two together anymore.
- **The Parks**, you can see there the membership is 7,241 and the visits were 18,000. I do want to state that starting January 1, we are actually going to be back to pre-Covid numbers at the Rec Center. So, we are moving forward.
- Ritchie Hall has been reopened December 3rd just in time.
- The **Community Center had a pipe break** on December 26th and it is out probably until at least March. I just want to mention that at the next meeting I will probably ask for reappropriations through the insurance. We are up above \$200,000 in insurance claims from that pipe burst.
- The **new sign on Howe Road Park** has been done.
- Membership at Maca Pool is February 15th, and it goes on sale to the residents on March 15th.
- We had the **tree lighting in December**. 200 folks showed up and I would like to thank the Tallmadge High School acapella singers and the Tallmadge Historical Society; they provided refreshments at Old Town Hall. Jim Forsythe, who knows Santa Claus got him to come to that event.
- **Street Department:** there were 6.5 tons of street sweepings were removed in the month. Over 3,000 hours in leaf removal over the whole leaf season and we are on average of about 16,000 cubic yards of leaves removed.
- The **snowstorm over Christmas**; 310 hours were used in snowplowing and treating over that 4-day period. Then on January 8th, just in time, the winter shift started. They started last Sunday and that is a 24-hour coverage that we have throughout the winter months. A couple catch basins were repaired on Kensington.
- These are the **snowplow routes**. The blue are the primary and we stay on those until we get a chance to get to the secondaries. The secondaries are roads that zip through the allotments and gets everybody to the primary. Allotment roads, not that they are not important, but they are the last to be treated and the last to be hit. A lot of times we can't get off the mains.
- **Utility Department:** 3 water breaks over the month; one on Bierce, one on Greenbriar and one on Southeast right before you hit Mogadore. For the year we had 16 so we are just

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Rorar (Cont'd.) below the average. No sewer backups. 5,000 lineal feet of sewer jetting was done, 5,000 lineal feet of televising was done, 20 valves were exercised; that was great. The EPA likes to see that. We actually have a valve machine that does that.

- Then **root controlling** just so everybody knows, we are actually treating the inside of manholes as well as the sanitary sewer lines with a root prohibitor, so it keeps the roots from penetrating into the lines which causes a lot of backups and it lasts for about 3 years with a residual. The company comes back in and retreats and it is safe for the environment and the City of Akron is aware of this because there again they treat sewer so we don't get billed for this product. This is a safe product that Akron uses too. That's the end of my report.

Pres. of Council: I have two Mr. Rorar. One is long-term plan for the cemetery. Is there additional land that we could buy and/or when it is filled up, it is just filled up. I mean, what is the City's plan.

Dir. of Pub. Service: Great topic of discussion. At the end of the day, we still have two more columbaria to add in. That is why we are going with the cremation. Those lands are small and tight and there are some easements, but as far as adjoining land, there is nothing that adjoins or abuts that property. I'm up for discussion and I am actually also going to present a landscape plan for the cemetery.

Pres. of Council: Then the second one is since the Community Center took such a devastating hit and we are in there already spending a lot of money; are we doing any other additional upgrades?

Dir. of Pub. Service: Glad you brought that up. It is a great time to upgrade and I have asked Ms. Simons to look at what she has in the budget and come back with what she would like to see removed from the budget to add that money to the insurance money to upgrade everything.

Mrs. Kilway: I just want to thank Mr. Rorar and the rest of the administration for the streetlights on Southeast Avenue. I took a drive down there the other night and it was beautiful. It was the ARPA funds that funded it?

Dir. of Pub. Service: That is correct.

Mr. Bollas: Yes, back in the fall we had a discussion about an auction for the Summit DD building for the materials inside. Is there any update on that?

Dir. of Pub. Service: No update on that. We have the auction companies ready to go when we are ready to move forward with that.

Mayor: Just to talk a little bit more about the Community Center, so what had to be done there was all the vinyl tile had to be removed and all of the carpet. So, the upgrades that they are looking at would be what style of flooring. Should it be the vinyl planks that are much nicer and easier to clean and easier to maintain, or do you just put the old vinyl tile back down in the 12 x 12 squares. The insurance company will replace what was there; the upgrades that they are working on is how much above the insurance is willing to pay and then we will have to come back to Council for that too.

Mr. Bollas: Based on the damages in the Community Center, were there like . . . how many customers were impacted like did we assess customer impact; did we offer refunds, was it looking at alternate sites for them to try to help them out. What was our response to that if there were any impacts.

Dir. of Pub. Service: There were impacts. We were able to move a lot of them over to Ritchie. The one that is killing me though was there was a wedding on March 11th and unfortunately that is a rough call so we did offer a full reimbursement and we offered to give her another date at half cost, but I don't know how easy that is for her. There again, I'm sure those invitations have been sent out, but that place is trashed. There is drywall two feet up and the ceiling is gone because of the evaporation. Those are ceiling tiles and so that much water, the ceiling tiles soaked it up so every ceiling tile

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Dir. of Pub. Service (Cont'd.) has to be removed and replaced. The counters in the kitchen is gone. They also took on so much water that they whipped and so the wood expanded and there is not a counter left in there. It is a total rehab.

Mr. Bozic: Mr. Rorar, I know you and I have talked briefly when we did a tour of the DD building about kind of looking at the future use of either that building or that site. Do we, and I don't know what the agreement is with the Summit County Library, but do we look at potentially not remodeling that facility and using that land for some other use, you know if we are talking about burials maybe that is an option and maybe it gets utilized for something else, but there are possibilities there and maybe this is the time to look at all of our options. We have a lot of building space that we are looking at with the DD building and we are looking for more space in the cemetery and we have the Community Center now that has this issue. Just kind of kicking around these ideas of what do we have as an asset and what can we potentially get out of all three or three down to one. Just a thought that we should probably sit down as the administration and maybe a couple members from Council and Parks and Rec and the Street Department who do burials and kind of figure out if that is something that would be feasible.

Dir. of Pub. Service: I am open for the discussion.

- d. **Director of Finance:** Thank you. We had a great financial year in 2022. I know there was talk about the economy and how it is doing, however our income tax here in Tallmadge went gang busters again this year which I did not anticipate obviously by the amount that we came in over my revenue estimate. So, I am glad that we got to that point. I think it is enabling us as we discussed during the budget process to do some extra projects and put some extra money into the budget for some things due to those overages in tax collections and also all of the departments did a really great job keeping within their budgets using their savings and also contributing to our growth as a City. I have nothing else specific to report on, but I will be glad to answer any questions.

Pres. of Council: So, Mrs. Gilbride, can you just give us your opinion and what you think about how things are going to shake out post Covid with people working from home and then again the impact on us is good to some point, but if we have 300 to 400 people working from home at Summit Racing, how do you think that is going to shake out?

Dir. of Finance: I mean we have already seen part of that shakeout this year. That transition has happened for those businesses. We have also seen as I think I stated before that we have also seen . . . we now get income tax here from First Energy. I think us being an adjacent suburb to a major metropolitan city that used to hold all of those businesses, we are able to weather that. The same being said, we issued over \$500,000 of income tax refunds this year which last year was like \$159,000. So, I think you are going to see it balance out, but I am pretty confident; I feel confident that this year we saw the majority of the impact on us and I think what we have right now to look at is just if the economy does go into a recession and we start seeing some of these businesses fall back, but we also have additional businesses coming in in the JEDD and those kind of areas and so I think overall I expect us to maintain a pretty consistent level . . . I don't expect us to continue to see the growths we did over the last two years. There were definitely some withholdings that were a one-time bump that I was able to identify from businesses. I believe a lot of them were faced with some during Covid with higher profits than expected so they were distributing those out as bonuses to employees and those kind of things and there were some major bumps but I think overall we have seen the majority of the impact I think for most of our businesses. They have made that transition and they went ahead and transitioned that withholding but the source that concerns me most is just how rapidly our net profit tax has grown over the last couple years and with the recession coming, those net profits, I think we will see that portion of our tax; that is going to be the one that is going to be the one that is going to compact I believe a little more.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Bozic: In the Income Tax Collection report down at the bottom of the page, you show \$12,077,000 as the budget estimate. It says 2020 there; should that be 2022?

Dir. of Finance: Yeah, that's just a typo.

Mr. Bozic: So, essentially, we are roughly \$4 million over for last year from what we budgeted. Is that a fair assessment?

Dir. of Finance: You would have to add the \$12,000,000 and the \$1.38 million and so I was at about \$13,400,000 and we came in at \$16,300,000.

Mr. Bozic: So, of that, kind of moving forward into this year because that carries over obviously of the projects that we have already earmarked from last year's budget, do we have others that we chose not to do that we could bring back up and start those as new project for this year.

Dir. of Finance: That would be a discussion to be added at the administrative level. We are just finishing the year, so we haven't gotten to that point this year.

Mr. Bozic: Looking ahead, is that something that administration was hoping to maybe accomplish this year?

Mayor: Keep in mind during the budget process there were items that were not budgeted that are big ticket items that we have to think about moving forward such as the Summit DD building; do I rehab it at \$7 million, do I demo it at \$1 million. Does the grant come in? We don't know that yet. We applied for a grant for the demo of that one year ago and we are promised that it is coming, and we will know the result and we have been sitting there on that and then we also had the personnel study that we said was not funded at all.

Mr. Bozic: That was my thought that the DD building is what jumped in my mind with knowing again that we had just talked with Mr. Rorar about potential projects and growth within the City for the future; where does that put us. It sounds like you guys are hearing that or kicking those ideas around. Obviously, we just started the year, and you can't jump into it right away, but I just wanted to make sure that that was something . . .

Mayor: We are very fortunate to have this conversation.

e. **Director of Law:** Thank you. I just wanted to let you know about a few items that will be coming up and more information will be coming out and be pushed out to the public and to the Council as well. Just to kind of save the date; we are going to have on February 8th a co-sponsored event by myself and County Council Christine Higham and the Summit County Public Health Opiate and Addiction Task Force a forum and discussion about the opioid addiction crisis and just more awareness training on Naloxone and things like that. It will be here in Chambers.

And then we also have coming up on March 7th at our Recreation Center a co-sponsored event with the Summit County Sheriff and the Summit County Prosecutor's Office and our County Council Christine Higham and myself a women's self-defense class that is free to the public and on April 28th I have invited the Ohio Ethics Commission to come and do another training. Susan Wilke has done that in the past for us and unfortunately with Covid we hadn't been able to have her live so in lieu of sitting through an on-line training, she is actually a very good speaker and interesting to listen to. We will be hosting her as an internal training session on April 28th and you will get more information about that. We will be inviting other public entities to join us as well.

10. Reports of Standing Committees of Council of the Whole:

a. **Planning and Zoning**

Carol Kilway, Chair

Rebecca Allman, Vice Chair

i. **2nd Readings of Ordinances and Resolutions**

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

A. Ord. 2022-85 – Amended – P&Z recommends approval by a vote of 5-0.

Amending Ord. 74-2016 approving and granting a conditional zoning certificate for Village at Town Center Phase 4 and amending Partial Phase I to applicant Testa Enterprises, Inc. on behalf of owner VTC Development, LLC for Parcel Number 6000310 (North Ave.) and Parcel Numbers 6010466 and 6010467 of 100 North Avenue.

Sponsor: Carol Kilway

Mrs. Kilway: I would like to call Planning & Zoning to order. Everyone is in attendance this evening. We do have Ord. 2022-85 which is amended. Planning & Zoning approved by a vote of 5-0. The ordinance was read into the record. If the applicant would like to come up and say a little bit about this. I know there was a public hearing at the Planning & Zoning meeting and there was a lot of discussion and so if you would like to maybe go through a little bit of that because I was the only Council member was there.

Joel Testa, 2335 Second Street, Cuyahoga Falls. So, this is doing two things. This is the last piece of our development next door. It was originally contemplated back in 2013 when we did our master plan. We had a mixed-use building which was the first building that went up. The first struggle that we had is that the majority in that building, as I'm sure you have noticed, has been vacant. 20,000 square feet of space that is losing about \$500,000 a year in revenue and so in 4 years we have not leased it and it has lost \$2 million on the project and it was pretty detrimental. We can't continue that way either. So, one of the things that we have looked at is what else could go in there and from retail we looked at office space. Since Covid the office and retail spaces are both struggling significantly and so there is no market for either one that we have been able to determine.

On the flipside we have a long waiting list for senior housing. As we looked at the last parcel, the last parcel over different iterations of the plan had been contemplated to be different things. The original submission in 2013 was support buildings for housing and at one time we had assumed that the old school building would potentially be housing units. Then we had an office building that was shown and later iterations there and a medical office building as prior to the Summa building, we thought we would maybe have some multiple medical office spaces and so again that one sits vacant too. So, as we look at the site and how do we finish up this project and what is in demand.

The one issue that has come up is parking concerns and so again as we look at all of the typical uses for the site, retail, which exists now has the highest requirement for parking from a zoning standpoint. So, if we were to fill those spaces, we would have a significant problem more so than we have now when that building sits vacant. Likewise, if we were to build an MOB which again has a high parking demand on that building which would be the only other probable thing that could go there; we would greatly increase the parking demand on the site and so the thing that takes the least amount of parking is senior housing. Affordable senior housing actually takes less because we deal with seniors that are making 60% of median or less and not all the residents drive. We provide transportation for those residents that don't drive. So, what we have proposed is to convert the retail to senior housing and to take that last building or building pad and build a three-story housing project there tying into what already exists. That application we submitted should you approve it, the zoning change and if it gets awarded it will get started sometime next year.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mrs. Kilway: Now, correct me if I am wrong, but you did say that there is a little bit of urgency in order to get these senior housing credits because this needs to be approved in order for you to get your application in in a certain time period?

Mr. Testa: Correct. So, the application goes in in February; February 9th I think is the deadline and we have to have appropriate zoning for that. Part of the urgency is, and it is our fault, we had assumed because the zoning was different in 2013; your code was different and so we had a mixed use zoning code that included senior housing for this site. Because of the way the conditional use works, each project that we bring in we have to come back through Zoning again and now the code has changed. We put together our application with OFA with the assumption that we did not need to come back to Planning & Zoning and that we already had coverage and after we met with the administration and looked for our letters of support, I guess, we realized that no, we had to go through this process and it was at the last minute to apply so that is why the concern.

Mrs. Kilway: And also, like you had stated, this plan has really changed significantly since 2013 when you brought it to us and there was to be quite a bit of office/mixed use space on the first floor especially what is away from the street. One of the reasons you cannot get like certain restaurants or anything like that because of the garages that were built to the back of it. You cannot vent out; is that correct?

Mr. Testa: No, that really hasn't been an issue for anybody. The problems that we faced for any potential tenant that we talked to 1) the orientation of the building and so in order to justify the development cost and the acquisition cost of that site, we had to develop quite a bit on it to make it work. So, that building had to go perpendicular to the street. Most retailers want to be parallel, so they have that exposure and so exposure has been a big concern for most of the retailers we talked about, but what I mentioned at Planning & Zoning is the one thing is that we are not retail developers; we are primarily residential and office and medical offices. We honestly didn't know what we didn't know when it comes to mixed-use inner-city retail and what we found out is that major national retailers and franchises which typically are the people that can afford to build out in new construction retail space which is expensive do not want mixed use. They want a critical mass and if you look at most retail plazas there is a consistent design trend that you see no matter where you go whether it is a mall or a strip plaza certain types of businesses always tend to be by certain types of businesses and they either want a business that is always on an out lot parcel typically won't go in-line retail; they won't be amongst other retailers on either side of them. Most retailers won't go into a mixed-use development unless it is in a market like in Chicago or New York where they have no choice. So, what we are left with is small local retailers that don't have these design standards that they adhere to nationally. Typically, those small, local retailers can't afford to build out new construction space or the rents that would come with the landlord financing the build-out. So that has really been the struggle is that this product just does not work in this market.

Mrs. Kilway: OK. I know Covid has had issues with retail commercial just in general with the whole world at this point in time, but I just really have a concern in putting more senior housing in there and then you want to add another . . . how many units is that again in the third story?

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Testa: In the third story building . . .

Mrs. Kilway: Or the three-story building that you are proposing.

Mr. Testa: No, the three-story building has . . .

Mayor: 39.

Mr. Testa: 39 units.

Mrs. Kilway: And how big are those units. How many square feet?

Mr. Testa: I don't have that off the top of my head. I think our units usually . . . the one-bedroom units I think are around 720 square feet typically.

Mrs. Kilway: So very small, very small apartments then?

Mr. Testa: Not really. They are not much smaller than the market rate apartments that we have, but I can tell you that one of the reasons that we are doing it is that we consistently have about 50 people on our waiting list for these and I think right now we have about 35. There is consistently more demand in Tallmadge for affordable, particularly ours, I can't speak for anybody else, but we typically carry a waiting list and that waiting list . . . the average person on that waiting list it takes them 12-18 months to get on it. So, when we are looking at the market and we say OK, for 4 years we have sat vacant and there is clearly not a demand for retail or office space because we could have given the space away and people wouldn't take it. On the flipside what we do have a demand for is a pent-up demand waiting list for senior housing and so it is the highest and best use if you would ask an appraiser to look at the property, that is what would come back as the highest and best use for it.

Mrs. Kilway: If we were to grant this, and we were to . . . basically that whole building is going to be residential except for three commercial units on that big building. How is that going to affect those three businesses?

Mr. Testa: Well, I think positively because right now like I said, if you were to do anything else with that retail space you are going to have a significant parking problem and that is the only thing that negatively impacts those commercial businesses is the struggle for parking. They are not struggling for business; all of them are doing well and I think when we look at, from an economic development standpoint, the biggest economic demand generator in that development is Summa. So what feeds Summa is our senior residents of everything that we've got in that neighborhood; having that walkability and having that baby boom generation have easy access to health care and so by putting seniors in there we are helping the one entity that brings the most dollars into the City from an income tax standpoint.

Mrs. Kilway: But then you are also asking us to forego any potential income tax dollars for all of this office space . . . you are saying that you can't do it, but there are businesses going in in other places all over the city and the county.

Mr. Testa: Yeah, there are and some of it is the restrictions on the design; some of it is the perpendicular to the street; some of it is mixed-use and listen if we could have done anything to not lose \$2 million to this point, we would have. The same thing with the property sitting vacant on the back. Right now, none of that is generating any tax dollars for the City and that is all of the things that we took into account is ok, we are sitting with this; what do we do? How do we turn it around for the City; how do we . . .

Mrs. Kilway: That back lot kind of needs cleaned up too. The back lot where you are looking to put the . . .

Mr. Testa: The last phase; yup absolutely.

Mrs. Kilway: It needs cleaned up. There is a big pile of dirt there.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Testa: Well yeah and it is the last phase of the construction site. I was waiting for this development and that is typically what happens. We need to finish it.

Mrs. Kilway: We don't expect a pandemic to hit in the middle of it; right?

Mr. Testa: We hope not.

Mrs. Kilway: OK, Council any questions?

Pres. of Council: I could ask a hundred but I will be quick. First, you know I was the Economic Developer and the Mayor and I courted Mr. Testa to get rid of the rubble that was next door and so I am thankful for the investment. I am thankful for the investment in Tallmadge; I am thankful for the investment in all of Summit County that Joel and his father have done and continue to do, but as you said earlier, the project did not go as planned and you don't know what you don't know and Covid was a big hit, but Joel, what is the status of the 4th floor. Are those all rentals?

Mr. Testa: Yes.

Pres. of Council: But they are all market rate rentals?

Mr. Testa: All market rate rentals (inaudible)

Pres. of Council: Those never became sold units?

Mr. Testa: So interestingly enough, we started doing this a couple of projects ago where we would take our market rate units and put them on the market for sale or lease; we condominiumized them and we let the market dictate what it wants it to be and interestingly enough 100% of these units were for lease. Nobody chose to buy them which is interesting because the same building half the size in Cuyahoga Falls, our Watermark building on Front Street, when we add the initial lease up that had 12 units compared to our 25 here, the 6 of them were rented and 6 of them sold. Over the next 3 years, every time a rental unit would come back on the market, we would put it back out to the public and say that it was available for sale or lease. We don't care; whatever you want. The market dictates to us and over 3 years all of the rentals converted to home ownership. So those units are all homeowner occupied. So, it is just for whatever reason, it is interesting, but that is why we do it; we let the market decide what it wants.

Pres. of Council: So then, how many then, in the 20,000 square feet, on that lower level; how many units would go in there?

Mr. Testa: 14. We submitted an application for 15 and as of today we decided if it is approved we are going to take one out and so it will be 14.

Pres. of Council: I have been trying to track down some old records, but I remember with your application to the State that Mr. Sauner, our former Community Development Director worked real hard and had to come up with a number of potential jobs created on that 8 acre site and back then the amount of income tax that he felt could be generated, but I don't know what that was; I thought it was 330 or 380 jobs, but don't hold me to that because that was 10 years ago now and so I had a call into Holly Miller at the County thinking that they may still have a copy of that because they were involved in some way, but our wish, our wish was that because you get beat up when you are the Economic Developer and the Mayor and you are talking about bringing in more subsidized housing in your City. That was not really a popular discussion for us to go around town.

Mrs. Kilway: And that is my big concern.

Pres. of Council: But the offset to that was that it was senior housing and there is a 30-year period or something I believe Mr. Testa, that it has to stay senior housing. So it was much better than others in the past which were only 15 years, so we had 30 years of security because senior housing; they are not a nuisance you know what I mean; we don't have a bunch of issues and I think the Fire Department has confirmed that we are not making an undo number of calls there.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Pres. of Council (Cont'd.) I think that was in Planning & Zoning. Correct me if I am wrong Chief. But the ace in the hole was we were abating property tax for the school district which presently they are collecting if they were collecting at all as a vacant land with residential value. So, yes, they don't collect for 7 years but then they would start collecting at a Commercial rate, so I believe most of that is in the abatement period; maybe not all . . . because each project was sorted different; right?

Dir. of Finance: The years start once the project is completed and so while it is 7 years, it is 7 years from the value increase and then it begins.

Pres. of Council: So, then for the City, again, sometimes we forget about this; property tax goes to the school district; we get very little of that. Income tax comes to the City. So as much as we don't want to ever hurt the school district, we need that tunnel vision on our fiscal responsibility to the City and that needs to be income generation, so I believe we hit a homerun with Summa you know and I agree with what Mr. Testa is saying that some of those residents feed and help them and I probably imagine based on what docs and nurses and everybody make, that we are probably generating as much income tax as we felt that we would back in 2013. I mean, I don't know that.

Mrs. Kilway: In 2013, that area where the Summa building is was supposed to be a proposed medical office building. I am looking at the plans right now. Then in 2019 I believe they asked for where they wanted to put the apartments in Phase 4 and they came back and asked for, as you put it, a MOB, a medical office building which would have also generated significant income tax for the City. So now we are looking at hey let's just not have any economic development and put senior housing in there. That is why we need to have a serious discussion.

Pres. of Council: I am just thinking out loud. I would, as I sit here right now, I would probably be inclined to approve the number of units proposed in the 20,000 square feet of the vacant space as it exists now. But, if you remember, we went through the Planning & Zoning issue on the 2nd Ward where the price point was going to be anywhere from \$400,000 to \$600,000 to \$700,000 for 80, 90 or 110 units or whatever it was on that vacant land and the community told us loud and clear that they didn't care what the price point was, they didn't want people living that close together on small lots, and then just more recently we had a developer that wanted to build senior housing ranches for 55 and up and it was a very successful project in Green and a very successful project in Fairlawn and this was going to be their third location and they decided, and again, I know that price point because my wife finished building one for her boss after he had passed and they took so much heat before they even made formal application for a product that was going to be every bit of \$450,000 to \$500,000. They decided not to proceed at this point on that idea. So, where I am struggling with Mr. Testa is I'm struggling with if I am going to be consistent in the way I think this community has told us that they don't want people living close together on small lots and I can't imagine that they would want people to live close together in a subsidized apartment. Now having said that, there is no one in the audience tonight to speak against that and there was only one person in the audience from that group at the Planning & Zoning meeting and I believe they chose not to make any comments and I will tell you that that is not their forte to not make any comments. So, I am kind of perplexed, but

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Testa: I can offer you my thought on that. This is a little different if this development exists. This is here. So, you know in the mixed use building we are not talking about changing the structure and making it bigger and you have a lot that is in a development that something will be built on and what had already been proposed I would think is more detrimental to anybody that would show up than the housing we are proposing. You look at the neighbors that about it and putting a medical office building there with the traffic that it generates and the activity and the noise and the trash pickups I think is . . . certainly in the original design, it was up against the property line where this building has a parking lot between their property line and a residential building. I think given all the choices of what could be on that lot, this certainly would be the most favorable to anybody who lives around it because we can't not develop it and we have a right to develop it at least if we go back to what was already approved. When we talk about the issue of why we wouldn't; traffic and parking seem to be number one and of course if that is truly an issue, this is the best use.

We certainly understand economic development and we wish there was something more I could generate but there is not, so at the moment, we have no income and that is not really fiduciary to the community, but I will tell you this, we can show you countless studies and I am happy to bring them in that tax credit housing; senior affordable tax credit housing has a domino effect in a community that definitely generates tax dollars for the community and the easiest to grasp was that we are usually bringing seniors in and they are living in homes where they have reached their useful life and they are no longer able to have disposable income to improve their homes, to remodel their homes, or to expand their homes and in some cases maintain them. So, they start to fall on their property values. We take those people and we put them into properties that we maintain then it frees up their homes for families that vote for levies and have kids that are going to schools and want to support our Fire and EMS and are higher income earning because they are in their income earning years and so it has this major domino effect that is necessary for prudent economic development and there are countless studies that we can show you that that is the case but I think that is why you are not getting opposition is because I think this is better than anything else we could be proposing or what we already have the right to build.

Mrs. Kilway: Mr. Testa, if you . . . you had said that a medical office building would be more detrimental to the residents of Highland Drive as opposed to an apartment building because of traffic and so forth. A medical office building is only going to have activity from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. potentially. An apartment building is 24 hours.

Mr. Testa: It is but the seniors are quiet and they typically again not all of them are driving and so the number of cars we have there, you don't have the comings and goings all day long from seniors that you do for the patients that are coming to a medical facility and the employees that are there. The amount of trash that is generated out of a MOB gets picked up sometimes daily as opposed to the seniors that sometimes gets picked up weekly. All of the services in maintaining . . . our snow crews, the amount of work that we have to do to snow plow and snow blow a medical facility versus a residential facility because the tenants demand that there can't be more than an inch of snow on the ground for fear that the patients would slip and fall. So everything that it takes to manage and operate that building are far more intensive than residential. I think you would be hard pressed to find a resident that has lived next to either and says I choose a commercial structure of any kind over senior apartments.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Sisak: We are not talking about a 24/7 emergency room at that location. As far as green space, do you have any options or thought is about maybe outdoor green space for the residents of the current building and then the expanded and the new construction?

Mr. Testa: Yeah, there is something. We have more green space now than we had in our original plan. If you go back to 2013 the MOB that we had was a one-story plan that was spread out across the site and we were able to take that to a two-story and save some green space and some landscaping and some walkways in there. Likewise with this plan that we have now there is a decent amount of green space around the second building and so overall it is an improvement.

Mr. Sisak: I was thinking like even just like a gazebo or gathering area or space that the tenants and the residents have an opportunity to gather in the summer or in the warm months.

Mr. Testa: We haven't designed that, but it is not to say that we can't. I will tell you that historically we have done them on other projects. In Barberton I put a bocci court in and it has been 10 years and it has never been used once. You know we go out there and maintain them.

Mr. Sisak: Just a place for people to gather if they so choose.

Mr. Testa: Absolutely if there is enough space for it, we would be happy to do it.

Mrs. Kilway: According to the original plans for 2013 that I am looking at that space was supposed to be a potential future community center and a potential future community pavilion with plenty of green space. In 2017 you changed it to the MOB. What are the chances of you just doing the first floor of the senior housing and then going back to the original plan that was originally approved and putting in the community center and the pavilion?

Mr. Testa: Well, the community center didn't get the funding so that was part of the original project, so we didn't have enough funding to build the community center there so that project died because of funding. We came back for the MOB because at that time we were talking to Children's who had interest in being in a building there and then they subsequently did not and so each time we bring a plan if it is not a potential plan which is part of our master plan that we would like to see we bring a plan that is based on the reality of here are the users or the tenants. So, if . . . so there is no chance that that would be a non-income producing site. Somehow it has to be generated because proforma for the development can't allow that to just be a non-revenue, non-income site and as the City you wouldn't want that either.

Mr. Bozic: So, Mr. Testa thank you for being here this evening to help answer questions. So, with the space on that first floor of the existing property, you had mentioned that it does become burdensome to potential small business, small retailers to go into those spaces because of cost of buildouts. So, I guess my question is when that property was originally proposed and built, was it discussed or was it in the plans to originally just have at least a concrete slab poured within those spaces because I know right now there is no slab in place.

Mr. Testa: There is on the east side of the building. There is not on the west side of the building. Typically, when we do what in retail is called a cold dark shell so normally you put up the exterior walls and you don't put in the floors because you don't know what your tenants uses are going to be. If I am putting in a hair salon, I am going to be putting in sinks all over the place and they would have to come in and jackhammer and bust up the floor and run plumbing underneath it and so for us to run our undergrounds you leave the floors out because it is less expensive for them to do it later than it is for us to put in a floor that we know we have to cut

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Testa (Cont'd.) up because we don't know where the underground utilities are going to go. On the east side of the building there was a moisture problem because it is a lower ceiling height and so the moisture is coming out of the ground and so we were forced to concrete that side to contain moisture. But the west side is still gravel.

Mr. Bozic: I guess I am just looking at it from the standpoint of it would be . . . for about 80% of the retailers that may move in there with the exception of a hair salon or something like that, they need like a bathroom. The way I see that that property being utilized would be more like your insurance companies, your health benefit companies and those sorts of things. Very small local type of businesses. They don't need a lot of bells and whistles within their space. When it comes to the water and utilities I guess, kind of knowing that, and knowing that it has been vacant for so long I guess I am just trying to think about if it was my property and I had that space; how would I generate at least some income from that space rather than having to pay the \$500,000 per year to have that. Losing that much per year. I guess what is your buildout cost.

Mr. Testa: So, typically in that space, so the rent in that space for a tenant that comes in is \$16.50 a square foot and that includes a \$40 a square foot buildout allowance that we give them and again one of the reasons we don't put in the floor is because we put the money to put the floor in but that way we can have complete flexibility so our idea is we don't want to have to be some deterrent for somebody where we chose to put the bathroom in one spot. It doesn't work for their plan and now the space doesn't work. We want to be all things to all people. That \$40 a square foot generally will get them their mechanical system into the building and the exterior walls drywalled. So, they are going to spend depending on the size of the space they may spend another \$40 a square foot finishing out the space. So, to your point, part of the challenge of office space is if you look at the office market in Tallmadge, not a lot of people are paying \$16.50 a square foot for office space. That is completed finished space and they just have to write a check and move in. That is the problem; the economics just don't work as office space from retail. Really it is hard to make a new construction building work in today's market. All of these things . . . pre-Covid was a different world too. The conversation we have today is certainly different than it was pre-Covid, but you are hard pressed to build new construction office space and have a lot of small businesses that can afford the rent that a new built office costs.

Mr. Bozic: I guess that is my point to say if we . . . you kind of had the mind set of knowing that front facing retail space is more attractive to the larger retailers and this is a side facing storefront knowing that we have smaller businesses that are going to eventually be tenants and having that build-out cost that is so high, I mean essentially you are saying an additional \$40 per square foot for the build-out roughly; correct?

Mr. Testa: Yes.

Mr. Bozic: So, you are pushing like \$50 something dollars a square foot for a build-out over the course of how many years lease?

Mr. Testa: You are probably closer to \$80 a square foot; \$80 to \$100 I would say for that.

Mr. Bozic: Which is very, very high.

Mr. Testa: We don't make up those numbers. That is the cost of the building materials.

Mr. Bozic: I understand. I'm just saying it is very high from a small business standpoint. I mean I am a small business owner and I have been around town to

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Bozic (Cont'd.) kind of see a lot of spaces and that number is just unachievable for probably almost all of our small businesses that are here in the community.

Mr. Testa: Which is why we are here asking to put seniors in there.

Mr. Bozic: I see where you are coming from and I understand that point; I just feel that it is you know every time that we go online we will see these people post something about why are they putting in more housing; why are they putting in this . . . I wish we had this business, and it is very centered around businesses and so you have a population that is demanding businesses whether it be retail space or food and beverage. I think that the need is there, and the community wants those things. I don't necessarily hear them every day, man I wish we had more senior living in our community and so I understand and you are probably not going to hear that but I think that the need for the community is that you know we want our restaurants; we want our bars; we want our you know sports pub or whatever it is and that is kind of the need of what this community is asking for and has been asking for.

Mr. Testa: Every community wants amenities. Every resident does and that is where you want to live by. The challenge is getting the businesses to have the economics to be there. I have been in plenty of markets where they say we want a Starbucks and you know they petition the Starbucks, but well, you don't have the demographics to get us there. We need higher density, higher per capita income and just because yes, we would all like to have it there, but the reality is that it is not up to the residents, it is up to the businesses and we, as I said, we don't have the type of businesses that can afford those spaces that want to be in those spaces. If they can afford them, they don't want to be there because . . . what we have learned and I will tell you that for new retail space, if you went out and looked at other new retail, new construction retail you are going to spend usually around \$25 to \$35 a square foot for it. So we are half and that is part of what we thought is that by doing a mixed use building, we can spread our soft costs, our acquisition costs and our land development costs over the whole building and then we ought to be able to bring retail, high end market rate new construction retail to market significantly cheaper than they can go anywhere else. So, why couldn't we attract somebody that was going to go look at you know a big retail box center where they are going to spend \$32 a square foot and say hey come over to Village Towne Center and we will give it to you for \$16. That was our mentality going in that we could operate so inexpensively. What they came back and told us is it doesn't matter if you gave us the space for free, it is not our model and we don't break from our model. We are not going into a mixed-use residential development that has residents above it with a building that sits perpendicular to the street even if you gave us the building for free. And so, you know that is why we are here. It has been an expensive learning lesson for us.

Mrs. Gutman: So, I have a different perspective on this because I am a nurse case manager and I work with elderly patients in Tallmadge for most of my day and I will say that they are the silent voice and their families are desperately asking for this housing, affordable housing for their family members for themselves, not knowing where to go or what to do. Exactly what you were talking about having these big homes that they can't afford to maintain. I have been in a wide variety of subsidized senior housing in different communities and I am in and out of this one three days a week and the Watermark and they are the nicest ones I have been in. I was shocked the first time I was in them. I think we are lucky that we have this in Tallmadge and to be honest I am thrilled at the idea that our seniors

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mrs. Gutman (Cont'd.) are going to have this opportunity to have more of these units. I am excited about it; I understand the economics side of wanting the businesses and how important that is financially, but providing that service for our senior citizens for our residents I think is equally important and I think that financial benefit, the indirect benefit that they bring in is maybe harder to quantify right here right now, but it exists. So, it is not entirely just a . . . I don't know, a moral issue, but there is that that we owe it, I think, to our senior citizens to have housing, not just you know it is great, but over here the five communities there are condos that are \$500,000 and they are maintenance free, but let's be real, not everybody is going to be able to put mom and dad in those. So, the fact that we have this here and they are nice and they are really nice. I mean I would move my parents in there. I think I can support that, and I would defend that all day long to any resident who questioned why we would want to put another building on there that is people living too close together perse. I don't know. I think . . . I want to say thank you too for making those places for seniors and keeping them nice and I hope that you continue that throughout the years because I have seen older building where they probably started out like that and over the years their maintenance just has not been maintained and there are some places that I have been in where like the elevator has not functioned for 4 months because they took too long to update the parts and so, I would just encourage you to keep those high standards.

Mr. Testa: I can tell you that there has been the comment, subsidized housing made a couple of times tonight. This is tax credit housing. It is a little different than what most people think is subsidized housing. This isn't Section 8; this isn't public housing and one of the things that is unique about it is because it is funded through tax credits at the State level and administered through a syndicator. The buildings have a replacement reserve account that has to be maintained for 15 years. So, in this case, let's say Ohio Capital Corporation for Housing is our syndicator here and they come in and do audits of the property and if they decide that the roof needs to be replaced and we haven't replaced it, they take the money out of our maintenance reserve account and they replace it. So, it is different than if a private developer decides to build Section 8 housing, nobody is holding a gun to their head to say you have to replace this and that is when you get aging buildings that dilapidate; it is usually because there is no mandate that they have to maintain them. That is the one nice thing about all tax credit projects is that they do have to be kept up to a standard and it is not our standard even, it is maintained at the State level and they have our checking account with money that is put in there in reserve just for those repairs.

But the other thing, when we first came through and explained this project in 2013 and there were a couple of people that said that this was low income housing; this is . . . we are concerned about the type of person it is going to bring into our community and we showed them that in our average property, the average resident is 74 or 75 and I was corrected in the Planning & Zoning meeting because it was 60 something in 2013 when we came in and now our boomers are aging and it is in the mid 70's so let's just say it is 74 years old, everybody that is in there is on Social Security and you can make up to 60% of the current median income to live there and at the time we did this presentation in 2013 I said if you are 74 in order to make more than 60% of median income on Social Security you had to have the last ten years of your earning years be over \$100,000+ and I said, do you know how many people in Tallmadge would over qualify to live here. There were 2 in the whole City and so it is all of your seniors. It is not just you know, we think of low-income seniors; it is every 74 year old person in this community will qualify to

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Testa (Cont'd.) live here. To your point, it is all of our parents, our moms, all of our teachers, police and fire and civil service people that have retired and support our community that we are giving them a safe and clean place to live. From an economic development standpoint, we argue all the time that if you take 39 units and you put them in a development and spread them out what it would cost the City to run sewer and water and snow plows and track pickups for those 39 units spread out in the suburbs versus in one building. It is far less expensive and far more profitable for a municipality to build dense housing. That is just . . . people don't like to think about it that way, but it is you know . . . it is the cost of your services and the more you spread out the houses, the more it costs the City to provide the services.

Mr. Bozic: I have a question for you. Are we seeking approval on this this evening?

Mrs. Kilway: Tonight is just amending it and accepting Planning & Zoning's recommendations so we can accept their recommendations and we can still have more discussion; is that correct?

Dir. of Law: It has to have more discussion. It has to go to 3 readings. Tonight, is just adopting the amendment which just incorporates Planning & Zoning's recommendations.

Mr. Testa: I was just here to listen tonight.

Mrs. Kilway: More information is better than not enough and that is really why I am encouraging this discussion OK.

Mr. Sisak: I have a question. Again, going back to you know outdoor access and green space for the residents and for this building, can you tell me a little about why you chose to put the parking lot against the east side of the property versus maybe in front of the building?

Mr. Testa: For two reasons. Good urban planning you typically try to hide the parking lot. It should go behind the building and not in front of the building. The front of the building is for retail and behind the building is for your aesthetics and good urban planning. We did that in the original plan, the parking was out in the circle and in large part because of the residents behind there because as we looked at the site and said OK, can we improve on what we originally designed and got approved and we thought well, why wouldn't we put the parking there and push that building further away because the new code has a setback and a step back requirement and so it is desirable for the code to get buildings further away from the neighbors. So that is kind of why we did it. We figured it would hide the cars and hide the traffic and hide the parking behind the building, but it would also give more of a buffer zone between the residents behind there and in front. Honestly it doesn't matter to us. We could put it in the front too. The reality is not a lot of those spaces will be filled by residents.

Mr. Sisak: Where do you anticipate the entry for the new building?

Mr. Testa: So, the plan that we . . . one of the recommendations from Fire I think was we show it at the north end of the parking lot for the new building is closed off. We did that because we are trying to maximize as many parking spots as we could get when this plan was designed. Their suggestion was that we open that up and let circular traffic flow through there. So that will change the entrance of that building probably a little bit. It is anticipated right now that it will be in the middle of the building and it would be in the back of the parking lot so residents would park . . . because you want to try to have the shortest distance for the residents between the elevator and their apartment.

Mr. Sisak: I guess there is an option because you have that semi-circle or the

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mr. Sisak (Cont'd.) circular driveway and that would to me, if it is not, it would keep within the number parking spots and that would be ideal green space; easily accessible for both buildings and for all the residents.

Mr. Testa: I'm not following you. You mean take the parking lot out?

Mr. Sisak: Yeah.

Mr. Testa: That is currently in there?

Mrs. Kilway: You can't do that. There are minimal parking requirements.

Mr. Sisak: I understand that.

Mr. Testa: But I will say this, so to your point, to your point, one of the things that we do is try to centralize the elevator so the residents each are walking equal distances down the hall instead of putting it at one end where they are forced to walk . . . the furthest one has to walk all the way down the hall. So, whether that happens in the front, or the back is kind of irrelevant. We could have an entrance in the front and the back of the building and this space that you see there which is all grass could become green space. We are going to modify this slightly because we are going to pick up a turning radius and lose a couple of spots at the bottom, but I will also tell you that part of the recommendation was that this whole site is not as walkable as it could be for the residents that are already there and even for the community at-large.

It was brought up that out at 91 there is no sidewalk that takes you right up to the retail space. There is also a recommendation that when we first proposed the building, we had requested that the parking wrap around the building so that we could get people out the north exit. It was determined that we shouldn't do that and that we wanted an isolated pull-in for emergency access and fire vehicles on the other side. Now the recommendation has changed and the thought process is well, we would prefer to have a drive-thru now which is great because that is what we originally wanted. I think that will alleviate some of the traffic problems there. The point to all of that is it gives us the opportunity if we do this to improve some things that exist now that could work better and one of them is sidewalks and crosswalks and trying to create those pedestrian moments; maybe benches and areas where people could just sit. Maybe it is not a pavilion, but it is . . .

Mr. Sisak: Yeah, just green space opportunity to your point to sit outside the retail court towards the west of the building.

Mr. Testa: I'll tell you what else we could do, when we developed the sign program originally we had a nice sign program for here that contemplated what retail would be in there and how the street poles would act as sign poles, banner poles and things like that. If we do this and we know we don't have those retailers in there now we can switch over to what we typically do in our residential ones which is all flower pots. So now we can soften the streetscape out there and all the poles. Once we know what we are dealing with, we can finally finish the development.

Mr. Sisak: That's great. Thank you.

Mayor: Just also to let Council know the only thing they are really doing with this ordinance is amending the use. Planning & Zoning has to do everything that you are asking for. It has to go back in front of Planning & Zoning for the site plan. The ingress/egress was a recommendation of the Fire Department. If you see in the amendment it is approving all requirements from the Fire Department, from the Service Director and all the other disciplines that it has to meet, but it has to go back for everything that you are just asking for. Planning & Zoning had those same concerns. So, the ingress/egress along the back we don't want to create what we created down here in front of the restaurant and that is why they are requesting that to connect so we can have a way in and out of there better. So, site plans will

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2023

Mayor (Cont'd.) come back and just so you know, part of the application for this application; it is an \$11.5 million build-out which is \$250,000 tax base for the school district. Understand what Mr. Loughry said and I am the same way. I would prefer income tax and not property tax, but we are dealing with a specific site that is really limited and whatever we can do to get this project wrapped up over there. I don't think it is going to hurt us on what they are proposing but there is a lot of site work that has to go yet.

Mr. Testa: I will add one more thing. When you talk about the difference of what we can do, one of the challenges that we face is that we are a medical office developer and so that is a large portion of what we do is MOB's. Most of our medical office parks were 5,000 to 10,000 square foot buildings because a 5,000 square foot building was traditionally a one office practice; maybe two physician practice and then the larger practices were more like 10,000. It was just kind of how the market worked.

Now what is happening is the hospitals are bringing those on campus and in fact we have long term leases that they are not renewing in buildings all over the place. In fact, we have been selling off a lot of them because as the medical community changes, the desire to have a hospital system buy a private practice and let them maintain their location has stopped and so now what you are seeing is the hospitals are going out and doing much larger buildings just like we did next door so they can combine disciplines. So, with Children's we have developed probably the majority of all Children's office space and now they are pulling out of all of their single buildings, and we are building, just like we did in Boston Heights, a 35,000 square foot facility so that site for an MOB has become functionally obsolete. There aren't people building 7,500 square foot medical office buildings like the one we got approved anymore.

Mrs. Kilway: Just a question for the Law Director; within the ordinance that was amended, it has on here in the 3rd WHEREAS "The applicant and owner wishes to modify the site plan as pertained to Phase I by converting 20,400 square feet of vacant commercial/retail space into 15 additional apartment units." Mr. Testa has just indicated that that is going to be 14?

Mr. Testa: Correct.

Mrs. Kilway: Do we need to make a revision within this ordinance?

Dir. of Law: That is in the WHEREAS section and so that doesn't have to be that specific as long as it is substantially similar and there is not some major deviation and again, these are all contingent on them coming back and so if it is something minor like that you don't have to. If you want to you can. I mean you can add that as another amendment to this ordinance tonight if Mr. Testa is positive that that is the direction you are going.

Mr. Testa: Yes. Positive.

Mr. Sisak: Madame Chair, I move to amend Ord. 2022-85 at this time. Seconded Mr. Loughry. Roll Call: Mr. Sisak - yes, Mrs. Allman - yes, Mr. Bollas - yes, Mr. Bozic - no, Mrs. Gutman - yes, Mrs. Kilway - yes, Mr. Loughry - yes. **Ord. 2022-85 was amended by a vote of 6-1.**

Mrs. Kilway: Ord. 2022-85 has been amended and it will come back to us at 3rd Reading and we can have more discussion in two weeks. Is there anything else to come before Planning & Zoning at this time? Hearing none, I will close committee. Thank you.

ii. **Additional Items:** None.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

J

January 12, 2023

- b. **Finance**
Craig Sisak, Chair
Jonathon Bollas, Vice Chair
The Finance Committee deal with budget, appropriations and reappropriations, bonds, franchises, investments, and expenditures.
- i. **First Readings of Ordinances and Resolutions**
- A. 2023-12** – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to negotiate and the Mayor to contract for various policies of insurance for the City and providing for immediate enactment.
Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar
Mr. Sisak: I call the Finance Committee to order. We have Ord. 2023-1 at 1st Reading. The ordinance was read into the record.
Mrs. Kilway: I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-1 at 1st Reading due to the fact that it is housekeeping. Seconded Mr. Bozic.
Pres. of Council: Mr. Sisak, just for the public that is here and then for the public that is also watching, they are going to wonder why we are going rapid fire on these ordinances, but these are all housekeeping ordinances that we are doing at this time, so I just wanted to state that for the record.
Mr. Bozic: Mr. President, just to clarify that in case somebody is wondering what “housekeeping” means, could you provide a little explanation.
Pres. of Council: In general, it would be bids, contracts that probably have a long lead time that we need to get stuff ordered right away on and/or material that the Service Department needs to continue doing work and also to plan for the projects ahead.
Mr. Sisak: This is already budgeted.
Dir. of Law: These are all budgeted but are also annual.
Roll Call: Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-12 is adopted by a vote of 7-0**
- B. 2023-16** – Authorizing the Mayor to enter into the necessary contract or resolution for the City’s participation in the ODOT Cooperative Purchasing Program and providing for immediate enactment.
Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar
Mr. Sisak: We also have Ord. 2023-16. The ordinance was read into the record.
Mrs. Kilway: I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-16 at 1st Reading again due to the fact that it is a housekeeping issue. Seconded Mrs. Allman. Roll Call: Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-16 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**
- C. 2023-17** – Expressing intent to sell unneeded, obsolete, or unfit municipal personal property including but not limited to vehicles and equipment by internet and/or auto auction in calendar year 2023 and providing for immediate enactment.
Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar
Mr. Sisak: Next is Ord. 2023-17. The ordinance was read into the record.
Mrs. Kilway: I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-17 due to the fact that it is a housekeeping issue. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-17 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**
- D. Res. 2023-1** – Authorizing the Portage County Auditor to advance payment of local taxes collected for the 2022 tax year, payable in 2023 and providing for immediate enactment.
Sponsor: Director of Finance Gilbride

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2022

Mr. Sisak: Next we have Res. 2023-1 at 1st Reading. The resolution was read into the record.

Mr. Loughry: I move for the adoption of Res. 2023-1. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic – unanimous. **Res. 2023-1 has been adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

E. Res. 2023-2 – Authorizing the Summit County Fiscal Officer to advance payment of local taxes collected for the 2022 tax year, payable in 2023 and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Finance Gilbride

Mr. Sisak: Next we have Res. 2023-2 at 1st Reading. The resolution was read into the record.

Mrs. Kilway: I move for the adoption of Res. 2023-2. Seconded Mr. Bozic: Roll Call: Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman – Unanimous. **Res. 2023-2 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.** Is there anything else to come before the Finance Committee? Hearing none, I will close committee.

ii. **Additional Items:** None.

c. **Personnel**

Jonathon Bollas, Chair

Adam Bozic, Vice Chair

The Personnel Committee deals with personnel, labor relations, insurance claims, Rules of Council and State Statutes.

Mr. Bollas: I call the Personnel Committee to order. We are all present. We have no legislation this evening. Does anyone have any comments or questions or input for the Personnel Committee this evening? No one responded. I just wanted to say thank you for the invitation to review the study with Clemans Nelson that took place on January 5th. I look forward to the follow-up. I close Personnel Committee.

i. **Additional Items:** None.

d. **Community Issues/Safety**

Mary Gutman, Chair

Carol Kilway, Vice Chair

The Community Issues Committee deals with Parks and Recreation, civic affairs, and liaison between Council and the Recreation Board and intergovernmental affairs.

Mrs. Gutman: I call the Community Issues/Safety Committee to order. We have nothing before us tonight. Does anyone have anything for the committee?

Pres. of Council: This just struck me when we did our calendar for Halloween. There was some discussion on whether or not we were going to continue to keep Halloween on that Thursday or discuss moving it to the Saturday like some other communities, but I'm guessing in light of us moving that meeting, the intent was to keep it on the Thursday.

Mr. Sisak: I know for the parents it would probably be beneficial to have it on a Saturday.

Mrs. Gutman: My intent is to have the discussion but perhaps not in January. Maybe we will wait until February and have that discussion. I think we should, and I think surveying the community in some format. My initial thought was maybe going through the school somehow to do a survey because they would be most affected, but again, we can talk about it.

Dir. of Finance: Maybe also with the Community Expo coming up that would actually be a good time to have a survey.

Mrs. Gutman: Oh yes, very good idea. Anything else to come before the committee?

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2022

Additional Items: None.
Seeing none, I will close committee.

e. Safety

Adam Bozic, Chair
Craig Sisak, Vice Chair

The Safety Committee deals with disaster services, communications, fire, and paramedics, police, and health.

i. First Readings of Resolutions and Ordinances

A. 2023-18 – Authorizing the Mayor to enter into a contract for the purchase of two heart monitors without competitive bidding and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Safety Cooper

Mr. Bozic: I open the Safety Committee. We currently have 1st Reading of Ord. 2023-18. The ordinance was read into the record. Mr. Mayor, I believe you would like to speak about this particular ordinance.

Mayor: If we could get 1st Reading adoption. This was a budget item. Since we have the monitors that are the same on different squads, we are not getting rid of all of them and we would like to be consistent of the unit that the guys are using, so they pick one up and they are using the same one no matter what.

Dir. of Finance: Also, we are asking for 1st Reading adoption because if we get this passed, we will lock in before the price increase in February.

Mrs. Kilway: I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-18 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Loughry.

Mr. Sisak: I guess my question for clarify is without competitive bid, is there an opportunity to bid the monitors or is it just not an effective means to obtain them.

Dir. of Law: No, at this point the manufacturer is the only supplier. That falls under that exception because of that. They previously had been a part of State Purchasing and they decided not to participate in State Purchasing.

Roll Call: Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-18 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

ii. Additional Items: None.

f. Public Service

Rebecca Allman, Chair
Mary Gutman, Vice Chair

The Public Service Committee deals with streets, highways, sidewalks, buildings, and improvements: water, sewer, electricity, gas, energy resources, recycle, waste, and trash.

i. First Readings of Resolutions and Ordinances

A. 2023-1 – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to advertise for bids and the Mayor to enter into contract for pavement maintenance and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: I call the Public Service Committee to order. We have Ord. 2023-1. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mr. Loughry: I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-1. Seconded Mr. Sisak.

Mr. Bozic: Is it within Council Rules to do a mass approval of all ordinances in front of us?

Pres. of Council: Well, to my chagrin, I have been trying to get a consent agenda approved for about 30 years and have not been able to get that done. So, it is not within Council rules as we speak tonight.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2022

Mr. Bozic: Is that something that we can approve this evening within Council Rules or is that something that has to be . . .

Pres. of Council: No, that should be looked at like a Council Rule revamp or update.

Roll Call: Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-1 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

B. 2023-2 – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to advertise for bids and the Mayor to enter into a contract for pavement marking and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-2. The ordinance was read into the record. Mrs. Kilway moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-2. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-2 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

C. 2023-3 – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to advertise and solicit bids and the Mayor to enter into a contract for concrete projects on public property and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-3. The ordinance was read into the record. Mr. Sisak moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-3 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-3 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

D. 2023-4 – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to advertise for bids and the Mayor to award a contract for temporary labor and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-4. The ordinance was read into the record. **Mrs. Kilway:** I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-4 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Loughry. Roll Call: Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-4 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

E. 2023-5 – Authorizing the Mayor to enter into a contract with Prime AE Group, Inc. for general traffic engineering and consulting services for various streets and projects and other general engineering services and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-5. The ordinance was read into the record. **Mr. Sisak** moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-5 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Bozic.

Pres. of Council: Is this tied in any way to the Thoroughfare Plan?

Dir. of Pub. Service: We looked at it, but it is not directly tied.

Roll Call: Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-5 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

F. 2023-6 – Authorizing the Mayor to contract with CT Consultants for engineering and consulting services relating to water system development and other general engineering services and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next is Ord. 2023-6. The ordinance was read into the record. Mr. Sisak moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-6 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Bozic. Roll Call: Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-6 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2022

G. 2023-7 – Authorizing the Mayor to contract with Burgess and Niple, Inc. for engineering and consulting services relating to water system development, general engineering services, and planning and zoning consulting and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next is Ord. 2023-7. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mrs. Kilway: I move for the adoption of Ord. 2023-7 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Sisak. Roll Call: Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-7 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

H. 2023-8 – Authorizing the Mayor to contract with GPD Group for general engineering and consulting services and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-8. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mrs. Kilway: I move to adopt 2023-8 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Sisak.

Mr. Bollas: What are the consulting agencies being referred to in this ordinance?

Dir. of Pub. Service: That is the engineer. General engineering; it is GPD.

Roll Call: Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-8 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

I. 2023-9 – Authorizing the Mayor to contract with Brandstetter Carroll Inc. for engineering and consulting services relating to parks and recreation design and engineering and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next is Ord. 2023-9. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mr. Loughry moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-9. Seconded Mrs. Kilway. Roll Call: Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-9 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

J. 2023-10 – Authorizing the Mayor to contract with DMS Water Solutions Co., LLC for engineering and consulting services relating to water system development and other general engineering services and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-10 at 1st Reading. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mrs. Kilway moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-10 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Sisak. Roll Call: Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-10 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

K. 2023-11 – Authorizing the Ohio Director of Transportation to complete the mowing of IR76 within the City limits and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have 2023-11. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mrs. Kilway moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-11 at 1st Reading. Seconded Mr. Loughry. Roll Call: Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-11 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

L. 2023-13 – Authorizing the Mayor to enter into the necessary contract or resolution for the purchase of salt and salt brine through the ODOT Cooperative Purchasing Program and other inter-governmental purchasing programs and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: We have Ord. 2023-13. The ordinance was read into the record.

COUNCIL MEETING

Council Chambers @ 7:00 p.m.

January 12, 2022

Mr. Loughry moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-13. Seconded Mrs. Kilway. Roll Call: Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-13 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

M. 2023-14 – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to advertise for bids and the Mayor to enter into a contract for chip sealing of parking lots and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next we have Ord. 2023-14. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mrs. Kilway moved for the adoption or Ord. 2023-14. Seconded Mr. Sisak.

Mr. Bozic: You know where I stand on chip sealing. Do you have a particular area in mind that you are going to be doing this.

Dir. of Pub. Service: No, just in case we need something done in the existing parking lots.

Roll Call: Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-14 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.**

N. 2023-15 – Authorizing the Director of Public Service to advertise for bids and the Mayor to award a contract for trucking and hauling and providing for immediate enactment.

Sponsor: Director of Public Service Rorar

Mrs. Allman: Next is Ord. 2023-15. The ordinance was read into the record.

Mr. Loughry moved for the adoption of Ord. 2023-15. Seconded Mrs. Kilway. Roll Call: Mr. Loughry, Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway – unanimous. **Ord. 2023-15 is adopted by a vote of 7-0.** Is there anything else to come before Public Service? Hearing none, I close committee.

i. **Additional Items:** None.

11. Reports of Special Committees:

a. Council Operations Committee:

Pres. of Council: The only thing we have regarding Council operations is that Mr. Bollas will be working with Mr. Delaney on coordinating on the installation of the tv’s.

Mr. Bollas: Yes, I have reached out to Dove and the IT Department where they are referring to the installation on the new monitors as well as the wireless mics so hopefully we will have those soon so that speakers can come up and present if they wish to do so.

i. **Additional Items:** None.

12. Announcements: None.

13. Adjournment: Mr. Bozic moved to adjourn. Seconded Mr. Bollas. Roll Call: Mr. Sisak, Mrs. Allman, Mr. Bollas, Mr. Bozic, Mrs. Gutman, Mrs. Kilway, Mr. Loughry – unanimous. **The Council meeting of 1-12-23 adjourned at 9:04 p.m.**

sb

Adopted:

Susan E. Burton, Clerk of Council

Dennis K. Loughry, President of Council